

APPROXIMATION OF PARTIALLY SMOOTH FUNCTIONS

ABSTRACT. In this paper we discuss approximation of partially smooth functions by smooth functions. This problem arises naturally in the study of laminated currents.

JOHN ERIK FORNÆSS*, YINXIA WANG AND ERLEND FORNÆSS WOLD

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we discuss approximation of partially smooth functions by smooth functions. The motivation of this comes from the study of laminated currents [1]. For laminated sets the natural test functions are smooth along leaves and continuous from leaf to leaf. However, for currents the test functions should be smooth in all directions. Hence such approximation theorems are very important in the study of laminated currents.

We study here first the case of laminations by curves in $\mathbb{R}^2$. To prove approximation we need an extra hypothesis which is automatically satisfied in the complex case of this problem in $\mathbb{C}^2$ because we then have holomorphic motion. However, this is not satisfied in general and in a forthcoming paper we will discuss counterexamples.

In the subsequent sections we generalize our result to $\mathbb{R}^3$. First we deal with the case when we have a lamination of $\mathbb{R}^3$ by real surfaces and then we consider the case when we have a lamination by curves.

We state and prove all our approximation results locally. We obtain global approximations from these by using a partition of unity. In a forthcoming paper [2] we will discuss the case of holomorphic motion in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with applications to laminated currents.

2. APPROXIMATION AND SMOOTHING ON CURVES IN $\mathbb{R}^2$

We assume that for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have a smooth $\mathcal{C}^1$ graph Γ_a given by $y = f_a(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume that $f_a(0) = a$. We assume that all graphs are disjoint, and that there is a graph through each point in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover we assume that the slope function $F(x, y) = f'_a(x)$ if $y = f_a(x)$ of the graphs is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\pi(x, f_a(x)) = a$. Then the above hypothesis implies that π is continuous.

In addition we make the following basic assumption:

(*) There is a constant L such that for all $a, a' \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that

$$|f'_{a'}(x) - f'_a(x)| \leq L \cdot |f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)| \log \frac{1}{|f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)|}$$

*The first author is supported by an NSF grant. Keywords: Approximation, currents, test functions. 2000 AMS classification. Primary: 57R30, Secondary: 32U40

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We define a class of partially smooth functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &:= \{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^2); \phi|_{\Gamma_a} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Gamma_a) \forall a, \\ &\quad \Phi(x, y) := d/dx[\phi(x, f_a(x))], y = f_a(x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^2) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$. Let K be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. If $(*)$ is satisfied then there exists a function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that for every point $(x, y) = (x, f_a(x)) \in K$:*

$$|\psi(x, y) - \phi(x, y)| < \epsilon, |d/dx[\psi(x, f_a(x))] - d/dx[\phi(x, f_a(x))]| < \epsilon.$$

The theorem follows from the following special case for the function ϕ which equals a on Γ_a . [This is the function π above.]

Proposition 1. *Let $g \in \mathcal{A}$, $g(x, f_a(x)) = a$. Let K be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. If $(*)$ is satisfied then there exists a function $h \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that for every point $(x, y) = (x, f_a(x)) \in K$:*

$$|h(x, y) - g(x, y)| < \epsilon, |d/dx[h(x, f_a(x))]| < \epsilon.$$

We first show how the Theorem follows from the proposition. We need a Lemma:

Lemma 1. *Choose $a, a' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < a' - a < 1$, and put $\delta = a' - a$. Then*

$$e^{-L \cdot |x|} \log \frac{1}{\delta} \leq \log \frac{1}{f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)} \leq e^{L \cdot |x|} \log \frac{1}{\delta},$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Note first that if $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a positive differentiable function that satisfies $|\phi'(x)| \leq L\phi(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $e^{-L|x|}\phi(0) \leq \phi(x) \leq e^{L|x|}\phi(0)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $\phi(x) := \log \frac{1}{f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)}$. By the basic assumption we have that

$$|\phi'(x)| = \frac{|f_{a'}'(x) - f_a'(x)|}{f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)} \leq L \cdot \log \frac{1}{f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)} = L \cdot \phi(x),$$

and so $\log \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot e^{-L|x|} \leq \phi(x) \leq \log \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot e^{L|x|}$. □

Proof. We fix a compact set K in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Fix a positive integer J . For each integer j set $\phi_j(x) = \phi(x, f_{j/J}(x))$. Set $\Lambda_j(a) = \cos^2(\frac{\pi J}{2}(a - \frac{j}{J}))$ if $(j-1)/J \leq a \leq (j+1)/J$ and 0 otherwise. We let

$$\psi(x, y) := \sum_j \phi_j(x) \Lambda_j \circ \pi(x, y).$$

Fix $a, j/J \leq a \leq (j+1)/J$. We have then

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(x, f_a(x)) - \phi(x, f_a(x))| &\leq |\phi_j(x) - \phi(x, f_a(x))| \Lambda_j(a) \\ &\quad + |\phi_{j+1}(x) - \phi(x, f_a(x))| \Lambda_{j+1}(a) \\ &\leq |\phi(x, f_{j/J}(x)) - \phi(x, f_a(x))| \\ &\quad + |\phi(x, f_{(j+1)/J}(x)) - \phi(x, f_a(x))| \end{aligned}$$

Using the Lemma it follows that $\psi(x, f_a(x))$ is arbitrarily close to $\phi(x, f_a(x))$ in sup norm.

We also need to compare $\mathcal{C}^1$ norms on each graph:

$$\begin{aligned} |d/dx(\psi(x, f_a(x))) - d/dx(\phi(x, f_a(x)))| &\leq |d/dx(\phi_j(x) - \phi(x, f_a(x)))| \Lambda_j(a) \\ &+ |d/dx(\phi_{j+1}(x) - \phi(x, f_a(x)))| \Lambda_{j+1}(a) \\ &\leq |d/dx(\phi(x, f_{j/J}(x)) - \phi(x, f_a(x)))| \\ &+ |d/dx(\phi(x, f_{(j+1)/J}(x)) - \phi(x, f_a(x)))| \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Lemma again, it follows that $\psi(x, f_a(x))$ and $\phi(x, f_a(x))$ are close in $\mathcal{C}^1$ norm.

Hence to prove the Theorem we only need to approximate the function ψ . In order to do this we only need to approximate the functions $\Lambda_j \circ \pi$. However, this is no problem because Λ_j is $\mathcal{C}^1$ and π is approximated by the function h in the Proposition. $\square$

We proceed to prove Proposition 1. Now let $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < b < a'$, and define the following function:

$$d(x) = \frac{f_b(x) - f_a(x)}{f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)}.$$

We have that

$$(*) |d'(x)| \leq \frac{|f'_b(x) - f'_a(x)|}{f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)} + \frac{|f'_{a'}(x) - f'_a(x)|}{f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)}.$$

Lemma 2. *If $|f_b(x) - f_a(x)| \geq \frac{1}{4}|f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)|$ then*

$$|d'(x)| \leq L \log 4 + 2L \log \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot e^{L|x|}.$$

Proof. By $(*)$ and our basic assumption together with the fact that $f_b(x) - f_a(x) < f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)$ we have that

$$|d'(x)| \leq L \cdot \log \frac{1}{f_b(x) - f_a(x)} + L \cdot \log \frac{1}{f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)}.$$

Since $\frac{1}{f_b(x) - f_a(x)} \leq 4 \frac{1}{f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)}$ we have that

$$\log \frac{1}{f_b(x) - f_a(x)} \leq \log 4 + \log \frac{1}{f_{a'}(x) - f_a(x)},$$

and if we use the Lemma we get

$$|d'(x)| \leq L \cdot \log 4 + 2L \log \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot e^{L|x|}.$$

$\square$

We next prove the proposition.

Proof. We construct smooth functions h_δ such that $h_\delta \rightarrow g$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. For any $\delta > 0$ we let $a^\delta(j) = j \cdot \delta$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\chi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $\chi(t) = 1$ for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ for $\frac{3}{4} \leq t \leq 1$. Let C be a constant such that $|\chi'(t)| \leq C$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

We first define h_δ on the graphs $\Gamma_{a^\delta(j)}$ simply by $h_\delta|_{\Gamma_{a^\delta(j)}} \equiv a^\delta(j)$. Next we define h_δ between two graphs $\Gamma_{a^\delta(j)}$ and $\Gamma_{a^\delta(j+1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} h_\delta(x, y) &= a^\delta(j) \cdot \chi\left(\frac{y - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x)}{f_{a^\delta(j+1)}(x) - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x)}\right) \\ &+ a^\delta(j+1) \cdot (1 - \chi)\left(\frac{y - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x)}{f_{a^\delta(j+1)}(x) - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $h_\delta \rightarrow h$ in sup norm on K . We have to check that we have convergence in $\mathcal{C}^1$ norm on each graph.

Fix a point $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (x, y) lies on the graph Γ_b . We differentiate h_δ along Γ_b at (x, y) . We have that (x, y) lies between two graphs $\Gamma_{a^\delta(j)}$ and $\Gamma_{a^\delta(j+1)}$. Now

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx} h_\delta(x, f_b(x)) \right| \leq \delta \cdot C \cdot \left| \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{f_b - f_{a^\delta(j)}}{f_{a^\delta(j+1)} - f_{a^\delta(j)}} \right) (x) \right|.$$

If $f_b(x) - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x) < \frac{1}{4}(f_{a^\delta(j+1)}(x) - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x))$, we have that h is constant near (x, y) so that is fine. Otherwise it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\frac{d}{dx} h_\delta(x, f_b(x)) \leq \delta \cdot C \cdot (L \log 4 + 2 \cdot L \log \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot e^{L \cdot |x|}).$$

Since this estimate only depends on $|x|$ and δ the result follows. $\square$

3. APPROXIMATION AND SMOOTHING ON SURFACES IN $\mathbb{R}^3$

We assume that for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have a smooth $\mathcal{C}^1$ surface Γ_a given by $z = f_a(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We assume that all surfaces are disjoint, and that there is a surface through every point in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\pi(x, y, f_a(x, y)) = a$. Then the above hypotheses imply that π is continuous.

In addition we make the following basic assumption: There is a constant L such that for all $a, a' \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_{a'}(x, y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_a(x, y) \right| \leq L \cdot |f_{a'}(x, y) - f_a(x, y)| \log \frac{1}{|f_{a'}(x, y) - f_a(x, y)|} \\ (2) \quad & \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_{a'}(x, y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_a(x, y) \right| \leq L \cdot |f_{a'}(x, y) - f_a(x, y)| \log \frac{1}{|f_{a'}(x, y) - f_a(x, y)|} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

This implies in particular that $F(x, y, z) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_a(x, y)$ if $z = f_a(x, y)$ is a continuous function. Similarly $G(x, y, z) := \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_a(x, y)$ if $z = f_a(x, y)$ is a continuous function.

We define a class of partially smooth functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} &:= \{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3); \phi|_{\Gamma_a} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Gamma_a) \forall a, \\
\Phi(x, y, z) &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi(x, y, f_a(x, y)), z = f_a(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\
\Psi(x, y, z) &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \phi(x, y, f_a(x, y)), z = f_a(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$. Let K be a compact subset in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. If (1) and (2) are satisfied, then there exists a function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that for every point $(x, y, z) = (x, y, f_a(x, y)) \in K$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi(x, y, z) - \phi(x, y, z)| &< \epsilon, \\
\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\psi(x, y, f_a(x, y))] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\phi(x, y, f_a(x, y))] \right| &< \epsilon, \\
\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [\psi(x, y, f_a(x, y))] - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [\phi(x, y, f_a(x, y))] \right| &< \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the previous section this follows from the following result:

Proposition 2. *Let $g \in \mathcal{A}$, $g(x, y, f_a(x, y)) = a$. Let K be a compact subset in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose (1) and (2) are satisfied. Then there exists a function $h \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that for every point $(x, y, z) = (x, y, f_a(x, y)) \in K$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
|h(x, y, z) - g(x, y, z)| &< \epsilon, \\
\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [h(x, y, f_a(x, y))] \right| &< \epsilon, \\
\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [h(x, y, f_a(x, y))] \right| &< \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

We proceed to prove the Proposition.

Proof. The proof is the same as in the last section: For any $\delta > 0$ we let $a^\delta(j) = j \cdot \delta$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\chi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $\chi(t) = 1$ for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ for $\frac{3}{4} \leq t \leq 1$. Let C be a constant such that $|\chi'(t)| \leq C$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

We first define h_δ on the surfaces $\Gamma_{a^\delta(j)}$ simply by $h_\delta|_{\Gamma_{a^\delta(j)}} \equiv a^\delta(j)$. Next we define h_δ between two surfaces $\Gamma_{a^\delta(j)}$ and $\Gamma_{a^\delta(j+1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_\delta(x, y, z) &= a^\delta(j) \cdot \chi \left(\frac{z - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x, y)}{f_{a^\delta(j+1)}(x, y) - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x, y)} \right) \\
&+ a^\delta(j+1) \cdot (1 - \chi) \left(\frac{z - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x, y)}{f_{a^\delta(j+1)}(x, y) - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x, y)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $h_\delta \rightarrow h$ in sup norm on K . We have to check that we have convergence in $\mathcal{C}^1$ norm on each surface.

For each j and $a^\delta(j) < b < a^\delta(j+1)$ define as in the last section

$$d(x, y) = \frac{f_b(x, y) - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x, y)}{f_{a^\delta(j+1)}(x, y) - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x, y)}.$$

Suppose that $|f_b(x, y) - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x, y)| \geq \frac{1}{4} |f_{a^\delta(j+1)}(x, y) - f_{a^\delta(j)}(x, y)|$. As in the proof of Lemma 2 we get that

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} d(x, y) \right| \leq L \log 4 + 2 \cdot L \log \frac{1}{f_{a^{\delta(j+1)}}(x, y) - f_{a^{\delta(j)}}(x, y)}$$

and the same for $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} d(x, y)$. Using Lemma 1 along the line through $(0, 0)$ and (x, y) and increasing L we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} d(x, y) \right| \leq L \log 4 + 2 \cdot L \log \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot e^{L\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}.$$

This gives that

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} h(x, y, f_b(x, y)) \right| \leq \delta \cdot C \cdot \left(L \log 4 + 2 \cdot L \cdot \log \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot e^{L\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right).$$

The same holds for $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

□

4. APPROXIMATION AND SMOOTHING ON CURVES IN $\mathbb{R}^3$

We assume that we have for every $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ a smooth $\mathcal{C}^1$ graph Γ_a , $y = (y_1, y_2) = f_a(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We suppose that $f_a(0) = a$. Also we assume that the graphs are disjoint. We assume there is a graph through each point. So for every $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ there is a unique vector a so that $y = f_a(x)$. We set $F(x, y) := \frac{df_a(x)}{dx}$ when $y = f_a(x)$.

Our basic assumption is now that :

$$(BA) \quad \|F(x, y') - F(x, y)\| \leq L \|y' - y\| \log(1/\|y' - y\|)$$

for a fixed constant L and for all x, y, y' . For later use we will assume that $L \log 2 > 1$.

Lemma 3. *Let F satisfy (BA). There exist positive constants $C, \delta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the following holds: For all positive $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ there is a vector valued function $F_\delta(x, y)$ such that*

- (i) $\|F_\delta - F\|_\infty \leq C\delta \log \frac{1}{\delta},$
- (ii) $\|J_y F_\delta\|_\infty \leq \frac{C}{2} \log \frac{1}{\delta}.$

Proof. We use the function $\Lambda(t) = [\cos(t)]^2, -\pi/2 \leq t \leq \pi/2$ and 0 otherwise. Next choose a grid, $y_{m,n} = (m\delta, n\delta)$. Let $F_{mn}(x)$ be a smoothing of the function $F(x, y_{m,n})$ such that $|F(x, y_{m,n}) - F_{mn}(x)| < \delta$. Next we define

$$F_\delta(x, y) = \sum_{m,n} \Lambda\left(\frac{\pi(y_1 - m\delta)}{2\delta}\right) \Lambda\left(\frac{\pi(y_2 - n\delta)}{2\delta}\right) F_{mn}(x).$$

Suppose that $m\delta \leq y_1 \leq (m+1)\delta, n\delta \leq y_2 \leq (n+1)\delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|F_\delta(x, y_1, y_2) - F(x, y_1, y_2)\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=m, m+1, j=n, n+1} \Lambda\left(\frac{\pi(y_1 - i\delta)}{2\delta}\right) \Lambda\left(\frac{\pi(y_2 - j\delta)}{2\delta}\right) F_{ij}(x) - F(x, y_1, y_2) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=m, m+1, j=n, n+1} \Lambda\left(\frac{\pi(y_1 - i\delta)}{2\delta}\right) \Lambda\left(\frac{\pi(y_2 - j\delta)}{2\delta}\right) [F_{ij}(x) - F(x, y_1, y_2)] \right\| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=m, m+1, j=n, n+1} \|F_{ij}(x) - F(x, y_1, y_2)\| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=m, m+1, j=n, n+1} \|F_{ij}(x) - F(x, i\delta, j\delta)\| + \|F(x, i\delta, j\delta) - F(x, y_1, y_2)\| \\
&\leq 4\delta + 4L(2\delta) \log \frac{1}{2\delta} \leq C\delta \log \frac{1}{\delta},
\end{aligned}$$

if C is chosen appropriately.

We estimate the y -derivatives of F_δ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \frac{\partial F_\delta}{\partial y_1} \right\| \\
&= \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \sum_{i=m, m+1, j=n, n+1} \Lambda\left(\frac{\pi(y_1 - i\delta)}{2\delta}\right) \Lambda\left(\frac{\pi(y_2 - j\delta)}{2\delta}\right) F_{ij}(x) \right\| \\
&= \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \sum_{i=m, m+1, j=n, n+1} \Lambda\left(\frac{\pi(y_1 - i\delta)}{2\delta}\right) \Lambda\left(\frac{\pi(y_2 - j\delta)}{2\delta}\right) [F_{ij}(x) - F_{mn}(x)] \right\| \\
&\leq C \log(1/\delta),
\end{aligned}$$

if C is chosen appropriately. Since we can increase C if we like, the lemma is proved. $\square$

Let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then $y = f_a(x)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We define $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $\pi(x, y) = a$ if $y = f_a(x)$.

Lemma 4. *The function π is continuous.*

Proof. This is proved by the same method as in Lemma 1. $\square$

We introduce again a class of partially smooth functions.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} &:= \{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3); \phi|_{\Gamma_a} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Gamma_a) \forall a, \\
&\quad \Phi(x, y) := \frac{d}{dx}[\phi(x, f_a(x))], y = f_a(x) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^3) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

We let D_R denote the real polydisk of radius R :

$$D_R := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3; |x| \leq R, |y_i| \leq R\}.$$

Theorem 3. *Assume (BA) is satisfied. There exists a positive $R \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\mathcal{C}^1$ smooth function $\psi : D_R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that*

$$|\psi(x, y) - \phi(x, y)| < \epsilon$$

for all $(x, y) \in D_R$. Moreover,

$$\left| \frac{d}{dx}(\psi(x, f_a(x))) - \frac{d}{dx}(\phi(x, f_a(x))) \right| < \epsilon$$

for all x, a with $(x, f_a(x)) \in D_R$.

As before this follows from the following Proposition:

Proposition 1. *There exists a positive $R \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\mathcal{C}^1$ smooth function $\psi : D_R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ so that $\|\psi(x, y) - \pi(x, y)\| < \epsilon$ for all $(x, y) \in D_R$. Moreover, $\left\| \frac{d}{dx}(\psi(x, f_a(x))) \right\| < \epsilon$ for all (x, a) with $(x, f_a(x)) \in D_R$.*

Proof. For small enough δ let F_δ be the approximating function from Lemma 3.

For each $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$ let $y = f_a^\delta(x)$ be the unique (local) solution to the differential equation $dy/dx = F_\delta(x, y)$ with $f_a^\delta(0) = a$. There is a positive constant μ such that the solution exist for $|x| < \mu$. Our first goal is to show that we have $\mu = \infty$.

We want to compare the graphs of f_a^δ and f_a , so we define a function

$$\phi_a^\delta(x) := \|f_a^\delta(x) - f_a(x)\|.$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f_a^\delta)'(x) - f_a'(x)\| &= \|F_\delta(x, f_a^\delta(x)) - F(x, f_a(x))\| \\ &= \|F_\delta(x, f_a^\delta(x)) - F(x, f_a^\delta(x)) + F(x, f_a^\delta(x)) - F(x, f_a(x))\| \\ &\leq \|F_\delta(x, f_a^\delta(x)) - F(x, f_a^\delta(x))\| + \|F(x, f_a^\delta(x)) - F(x, f_a(x))\| \\ &\leq \delta + L\|f_a^\delta(x) - f_a(x)\| \log \frac{1}{\|f_a^\delta(x) - f_a(x)\|} \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get that

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi_a^\delta(x) \right| \leq \delta + L\phi_a^\delta(x) \log \frac{1}{\phi_a^\delta(x)}.$$

This gives that $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log \phi_a^\delta(x) \right| \leq \frac{\delta}{\phi_a^\delta(x)} + L \log \frac{1}{\phi_a^\delta(x)}$, and so $f_a^\delta(x)$ stays bounded for bounded x . Thus F_δ provides us with a new lamination of $\mathbb{R}^3$ and our next goal is to show that we actually approximate the lamination defined by F on compact sets.

Lemma 5. *Let ϕ be a $\mathcal{C}^1$ smooth function and suppose $\delta < \phi(x) < 1/2$ on $(0, b)$, $\phi(0) = \delta$ and $\phi' \leq \delta + L\phi \log(1/\phi)$ on $(0, b)$. If $L \log 2 > 1$, then $\phi(x) \leq \delta^{(e^{-2Lx})}$ on $(0, b)$.*

Proof. We have $\phi' \leq \delta + L\phi \log(1/\phi) \leq 2L\phi \log(1/\phi)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\phi'}{\phi \log(1/\phi)} &\leq 2L \\
&\Rightarrow \\
[\log(\log(1/\phi))]' &= \frac{1}{\log(1/\phi)} \frac{-\phi'}{\phi} \\
&\geq -2L \\
|[\log(\log(1/\phi))]|_0^x &\geq -2Lx, x \geq 0 \\
\log(\log(1/\phi(x))) - \log(\log(1/\delta)) &\geq -2Lx \\
\log \left[\frac{\log(1/\phi(x))}{\log(1/\delta)} \right] &\geq -2Lx \\
\frac{\log(1/\phi(x))}{\log(1/\delta)} &\geq e^{-2Lx} \\
\log(1/\phi(x)) &\geq \log(1/\delta) e^{-2Lx} \\
\log \phi(x) &\leq (\log \delta) e^{-2Lx} \\
&= \log(\delta^{(e^{-2Lx})}) \\
\phi(x) &\leq \delta^{(e^{-2Lx})}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1. *Let $0 < \tau < 1$. Then if $|x| \leq (\log(1/\tau))/(2L)$ and if δ is small enough such that $\delta^\tau < \frac{1}{2}$, we have that*

$$\phi_a^\delta(x) \leq \delta^\tau.$$

Proof. If $\phi_a^\delta(x) \leq \delta$, we are done. On an interval where $\phi_a^\delta(x) > \delta$ we use the above lemma to show that $\phi_a^\delta(x) \leq \delta^\tau$ as long as $e^{-2L|x|} \geq \tau$, i.e. $-2L|x| \geq \log \tau$ so $|x| \leq (\log(1/\tau))/(2L)$. □

This Corollary says that integral curves of F and F^δ starting at the same point when $x = 0$ remain close.

Let Γ^δ denote the set

$$\Gamma^\delta = \{(x, f_a^\delta(x)); |x| \leq 1, \|a\| < 1\},$$

and choose an (initial) R such that $D_R \subset \Gamma^\delta$ as long as δ is small enough. Define a projection $\pi_\delta : D_R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by $\pi_\delta(x, f_a^\delta(x)) = a$. Corollary 1 above shows that $\pi_\delta \rightarrow \pi$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. We will define $\psi = \pi_\delta$ for a small enough δ . Let $(x_0, y_0) = (x_0, f_a(x_0)) \in D_R$. It remains to prove that we have

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dx} [\pi_\delta(x, f_a(x))] \right\| < \epsilon$$

at (x_0, y_0) if δ is small enough.

By the chain rule, if we write $\pi_\delta = (\pi_\delta^1, \pi_\delta^2)$,

$$\frac{d}{dx} (\pi_\delta^i(x_0, f_a(x_0))) = \frac{\partial \pi_\delta^i}{\partial x}(x_0, f_a(x_0)) + \nabla_y \pi_\delta^i(x_0, f_a(x_0)) \cdot f'_a(x_0).$$

We write this for short as

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\pi_\delta(x_0, f_a(x_0))) = \frac{\partial \pi_\delta}{\partial x}(x_0, f_a(x_0)) + \nabla_y \pi_\delta(x_0, f_a(x_0)) \cdot f'_a(x_0).$$

Since π_δ is constant on the graphs $y = f_{a'}^\delta(x)$ we also have $\pi_\delta(x, f_{a'}^\delta(x)) \equiv a'$ so

$$0 = \frac{\partial \pi_\delta}{\partial x}(x, f_{a'}^\delta(x)) + \nabla_y \pi_\delta(x, f_{a'}^\delta(x)) \cdot (f_{a'}^\delta)'(x).$$

Let a' be so that $y_0 = f_{a'}^\delta(x_0) = f_a(x_0)$.

Subtracting we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx}(\pi_\delta(x, f_a(x)))(x_0) &= \nabla_y \pi_\delta(x_0, y_0) \cdot [f'_a(x_0) - (f_{a'}^\delta)'(x_0)] \\ &= \nabla_y \pi_\delta(x_0, y_0) \cdot [F(x_0, y_0) - F_\delta(x_0, y_0)] \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dx}(\pi_\delta(x, f_a(x)))(x_0) \right\| \leq C\delta \log \frac{1}{\delta} \|\nabla_y \pi_\delta(x_0, y_0)\|.$$

We proceed to prove that if $|x| < \frac{1}{2C}$ then $\|\nabla_y \pi_\delta(x_0, y_0)\| < \frac{2}{\sqrt{\delta}}$.

To this end we consider the nearby graphs $y = f_{a'}^\delta(x)$ and $y = f_{a'+\Delta a}^\delta(x)$. Define $(\Delta f^\delta)(x) := f_{a'+\Delta a}^\delta(x) - f_{a'}^\delta(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx}((\Delta f^\delta)(x)) &= (f_{a'+\Delta a}^\delta)'(x) - (f_{a'}^\delta)'(x) \\ &= F_\delta(x, f_{a'+\Delta a}^\delta(x)) - F_\delta(x, f_{a'}^\delta(x)) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{d}{dx}((\Delta f^\delta)(x)) \right\| &= \|F_\delta(x, f_{a'+\Delta a}^\delta(x)) - F_\delta(x, f_{a'}^\delta(x))\| \\ &\leq C \log(1/\delta) \|f_{a'+\Delta a}^\delta(x) - f_{a'}^\delta(x)\| \\ &= C \log(1/\delta) \|(\Delta f^\delta)(x)\| \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\frac{d}{dx}((\Delta f^\delta)(x))\| \leq \|\frac{d}{dx}(\Delta f^\delta)(x)\|$ we get as in the proof of Lemma 1 in Section 1 that

$$e^{-C \log \frac{1}{\delta} |x|} \|(\Delta f^\delta)(0)\| \leq \|(\Delta f^\delta)(x)\| \leq e^{C \log \frac{1}{\delta} |x|} \|(\Delta f^\delta)(0)\|.$$

But $\Delta f^\delta(0) = f_{a'+\Delta a}^\delta(0) - f_{a'}^\delta(0) = (a' + \Delta a) - a' = \Delta a$, and so we get

$$\delta^{C|x|} \|\Delta a\| \leq \|(\Delta f^\delta)(x)\| \leq \delta^{-C|x|} \|\Delta a\|.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\|\Delta a\|}{\|(\Delta f^\delta)(x)\|} \leq \delta^{-C|x|},$$

and so

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \pi_\delta^j}{\partial y_i} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta^{C|x|}},$$

for $j, i = 1, 2$. If we choose $|x|$ so small that

$$C|x| \leq 1/2$$

then

$$\|\nabla_y \pi_\delta\| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\delta}}.$$

But then

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dx} (\pi_\delta(x, f_a(x))) \right\| \leq (C\delta \log \frac{1}{\delta}) \cdot \frac{2}{\sqrt{\delta}} = 2C\sqrt{\delta} \log \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

After decreasing, R , this completes the proof since $\sqrt{\delta} \log \frac{1}{\delta} \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Fornæss, J. E., Sibony, N; *Harmonic currents and finite energy of laminations*, GAFA **15** (2005), 962–1003.
- [2] Fornæss, J. E., Wang, Y., Wold, E. F; *Laminated currents*, preprint (2007).

John Erik Fornæss
 Mathematics Department
 The University of Michigan
 East Hall, Ann Arbor, MI 48109
 USA
 fornaess@umich.edu

Yinxia Wang
 Department of Mathematics
 Henan University
 Kaifeng, 475001
 China
 yinxiaawang@gmail.com

Erlend Fornæss Wold
 Mathematisches Institut
 Universitat Bern
 Sidlerstr. 5
 CH-3012 Bern
 Switzerland
 erlendfw@student.matnat.uio.no